

**PELICAN MARSH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
REGULAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
APRIL 20, 2016**

The Board of Supervisors of the Pelican Marsh Community Development District met on Wednesday, April 20, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. at the Pelican Marsh Foundation Building, Naples, Florida.

APPEARANCES:

Frank Garofalo, Chairman
Robert Smith, Vice-Chairman (Via speakerphone)
Don Pomerantz, Treasurer
Gordon Walker
Sally Dupler (Via speakerphone)

ALSO PRESENT:

W. Neil Dorrill, Dorrill Management Group
David Robson, Johnson Engineering
Tony Pires, Board Counsel
James Calamari, Access Control

ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Garofalo, with Supervisors Pomerantz, Walker and Garofalo noted as being present and Supervisors Dupler and Smith participating via speakerphone. **On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Don Pomerantz, Mrs. Dupler and Mr. Smith were unanimously authorized by the Board to participate via speakerphone due to exceptional circumstances.**

PUBLIC COMMENT

Deborah **Gideon spoke representing Don Holton from Escada Estates. They are requesting a fence variance, and documentation was provided to the Board. Mr. Holton would like to move his fence towards the edge of Lake 4, without impeding access for maintenance by allowing ten

feet for that purpose. The Holton home is the first one at the turn onto Escada, on a corner lot, and his address is 2552 Escada Drive. He asked that they allow his property line to be used to determine where the fence would go, and he is asking for an additional 11 feet. His property line is variable, at anywhere from 22 to 25 feet to the lake edge.

Mr. Smith suggested that this sort of request should be put on the agenda as an item for discussion. Chairman Garofalo noted that he and Mr. Vanover went to the Holton home and looked at the area, and saw no problem with it. A schematic and photographs were provided to the Board, and if a formal document is drawn up by Mr. Pires indicating that there must always be ten feet for maintenance, that the owner will maintain his property up to the lake and fund Mr. Pires' fees, then he would not have a problem approving it.

Mr. Smith was not sure that the Board could approve this request under Public Comment, but Mr. Pires indicated that the Board could authorize staff to prepare the necessary document, such as a non-disturbance agreement, and bring it back to the Board at a later date for review and discussion. Other documents should also be provided, such as a depiction of the easement location and the tract, a copy of the survey to superimpose on the depiction and an explanation of the type of fence. Mr. Pires added that public comment on this issue should be taken at this meeting as well.

Mr. Robson noted that the physical reality versus what is on the plats is one of the things being pointed out, and to his recollection with other issues of this type there is a 20 foot easement to the edge of the lake tract. However, the water moves, and the lake is not necessarily built the way it is drawn on the plat. The formal description that Mr. Pires is looking for, then, is the sketch from the back of the lot line into the lake maintenance easement. Mr. Pires added that this is why a proposed encroachment into that easement is required, and that is what has been done in the past.

Mr. Smith again pointed out that quite a bit of time was taken under Public Comment on this, and the rules should be followed in the future. Mr. Dorrill recapped the Board's decision, that

the consensus would be to develop an appropriate lake encroachment agreement that could be recordable along with a sketch, with the agreement of the property owner through their representative to pay any costs incurred. As this has been done before, Mr. Pires noted that it would just be a matter of updating the template for the agreement.

Dean Sieperda from Norman Estate indicated that he had the same issue, and wished to move his pool cage fence, as they are taking the pool cage down, towards the lake. The property line is right on the lake, and Mr. Sieperda had a survey, done with a 20 foot. He is asking for five feet , and he hoped to put coquina shells in as opposed to grass for easier maintenance. His home is across the lake from the golf course. Mr. Vanover will take a look at the area with Mr. Sieperda, and this item will be on the agenda at the May meeting as well. Mr. Pires asked Mr. Sieperda to get a copy of the survey and a proposal for the fence, how far it will extend and what type of fence it will be.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Item 9A was added as a discussion of the Galleria Gate.

On a MOTION by Robert Smith and a second by Gordon Walker, the modified agenda was unanimously approved by the Board.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MARCH 16, 2016 REGULAR MEETING

On Page 3, the first sentence under the Manager’s Report, should read: “This property, north of Marquesa on Tiburon Boulevard, was in the process of being transferred”. In the third line, the words “of the former sales center” should be added after the word conveyance.

On Page 4, in the Motion in the fifth line from the top, Mr. *** was the motion maker.

On Page 5, in the 11th line from the bottom, Mr. Lee should be changed to ***

Also on Page 5, in the 7th line from the bottom, “...did not feel that they...” should be changed to “...did not feel that the CDD...”.

On Page 6, the 11th line should replace “Mr. Lee” with Mr. Smith.

On Pages 6 and 7 in three places, “Mr. Bale” should read “Mr. Randall”.

On Page 8, in the third paragraph, once again Mr. Lee’s name should be replaced with ***

On Page 9 in the second to last line, the words “executive meeting” should be replaced with “agenda posting”.

Additionally on Page 9, in the 7th line from the top, the speaker was Susan Roda.

Mrs. Dupler added that although Mrs. Roda indicated that Vanderbilt is Tiburon’s beach, in reality that is not the case, as Tiburon has no private beach. Vanderbilt Beach is public, and she noted that the residents of Tiburon should be advised of this.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Robert Smith, the minutes as corrected were unanimously approved by the Board.

PRESENTATION OF AUDIT

Mr. Phillips indicated that the audit as of September 30, 2015 was done in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and government auditing standards. A draft copy of the audit was provided to the Board members in their packet.

Historically the District has had an unmodified opinion, which means that there are no issues in the audit report, and that it is a clean opinion.

The table of contents showed the breakdown of the report and all the required items contained within it. Page 1 is the opinion indicating that everything is fairly stated according to generally accepted auditing principles and auditing standards and SEP320015 for the year end.

Management has the responsibility to ensure that protocols and procedures are in place to reduce error or fraud. The major cycles of activity on the receipt side were walked through by the auditor, and everything is operating pursuant to the auditor’s understanding with no recommendations to make and nothing to report.

The risks associated with the various transactions were looked at in the year-to-date general

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

April 20, 2016

Page 5

ledger, and out of that came the conclusion that everything is fairly stated.

The Management Discussion Analysis was talked about on Page 2, which is required for informational purposes. The report on Page 2 is required by the Auditor General, and says that they complied with Florida Statute 218.415. There is not an investment policy, but the District defaults to the Statute and all the money set aside for the bonds comply with those procedures. The Yellow Auditing Standards Booklet is referenced there regarding reports on internal controls and complaints.

Page 3 is the Management Discussion Analysis which was prepared by Dorrill Management.

Page 11 is the Statement of Net Position, assets and liabilities at a point in time, September 30, 2015, which showed a strong cash position with two components, the cash bank account with revenues over expenses of roughly \$24,000, and then also investments restricted for principal and interest pursuant to the bond indenture, which is money set aside for payment of principal and interest. From 2014 to 2015 it went from \$1,700,000 to \$2,800,000 and is tied to prepayments from the Galleria Shops.

Total assets of the District were a little over 32,000,000. Fixed assets came in as major additions, the lake restoration and two new vehicles, F150s.

Liabilities stayed fairly consistent, and the debt is going down pursuant to the amortization schedule. The District prepaid down over \$400,000 of the debt with people paying off their debt early. The deposition was higher, up by 16 percent, and the deposition means that the cash position is higher and the debt is lower.

Page 12 was the statement of activities. In the government system you start out with expenses and show them by function, and in the corporate world you show revenue first. This page showed the total expenses on an accrual basis at \$4,600,000, which includes community services, general government, and interest off debt. It does not include principal payments which was over \$2,400,000.

Page 13 was another report required by the government, which was by fund on a modified cash

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

April 20, 2016

Page 6

basis. It recognizes money when it is received, and payments when they are made.

The process of adopting the budget was looked at by the auditor, and what money should have been expected through the particular assessments. They were all in line with the budget.

There was over \$1,200,000 in the general fund in assets, with \$1,244,000 in cash. That amount was up by approximately \$43,000, primarily due to revenue over expenses as shown on Page 14. Overall expenses stayed consistent.

Mr. Phillips pointed out that in 2012 there was a due-to other funds of \$1,300,000. In 2013, there was a receivable of \$1,400,000. That was due to the timing of the prepayment that came out of the TWC payment of roughly \$1,400,000 that US Bank deposited in 2012, when it should have been done in 2013. At that time the timing was in the wrong fund, but that corrected itself in October. Mr. Dorrill pointed out that this was the Texas based *** that now is the principal owner of the retail end of the Galleria Shops. They paid for it in 2012 to avoid interest payments.

Page 14 showed revenue versus expenses by fund, and the debt service funds are pursuant to the authorized budget, and how those monies should have been assessed for the particular property owners. In 2013 there were prepayments of \$1,388,000. This should be seen as being released in this fiscal year to further pay down the debt.

The general fund shows that \$3,200,000 was taken in total revenue, with total expenses of \$3,269,000, or revenue over expenses of roughly \$24,000. Other than the area of landscape maintenance, which has gone up mainly because of payroll, expenses have been consistent.

Roadway services were up by about \$25,000, due mainly to sidewalk repairs, system upgrades and fountain maintenance. Overall expenses, however, were down \$20,000 from the previous year. Payroll was 40 percent of the general fund expenses.

Page 15 was a reconciliation of the accrual statement and the modified cash statement by funds. The difference is mainly the way depreciation is handled and the recording of debt.

Page 16 showed the policies of what has been adopted, and nothing has changed. Mr.

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

April 20, 2016

Page 7

Phillips pointed out that on Page 24, it showed that they did not have a formal investment policy as was noted previously, and are therefore defaulting to Florida Statute 218.415, Paragraph 17, which gives the requirements of the public fund use of those dollars. The District is compliant with all of those requirements.

Page 26 showed the capital assets and the changes through fiscal year 2015, with additions of over \$220,000. Depreciation was \$862,000 based on the useful life of a particular asset or infrastructure. Page 29 showed the breakdown of the bond debt. On Series 1997 A for 2012 and 2013, as of September 2015, \$830,000 was still owed on that bond issue. Series 2012, \$6,018,000 was owed, and Series 2013, \$4,405,000 was owed. Chairman Garofalo noted that the 1997 debt would be paid off in a few weeks, and Mr. Phillips added that with the release of the Galleria prepayment, the debt will be paid down further.

Chairman Garofalo asked if that was strictly a bond payment from Galleria, and Mr. Dorrill noted that it was a bond payment and anyone who has debt on their individual unit can prepay that debt at any time.

The budget to actual was shown on Page 32 in the general fund, and no particular issues were shown there. The second part of that was the debt service fund where the approval of an amendment was needed as the District overpaid by \$400,000 on principal due to the fact that it took money in from the previous year that was prepaid, and paid out by the District in 2015.

On Page 33 the internal audit and compliance with laws and regulations were shown in two components, internal controls, where there was nothing to report, and the second component was noncompliance with laws and regulations, which again showed nothing to report.

Page 35 was the affirmation that the Florida Statute regarding the investment of funds was being complied with.

Page 36 showed the Auditor General's letter, which indicates if there has been any abuse, fraud, violation of law or illegal activity, and nothing was noted.

Mr. Phillips thanked the Board for allowing him to be of service to them, and looked to

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

April 20, 2016

Page 8

having the audit adopted once a few minor corrections were made as well as the amendment to the budget.

Chairman Garofalo asked how the District compared in financial management with the other CDDs that Mr. Phillips audited, and was advised that the CDD was doing well. Those that are not doing well are the ones that started in the latter part of the 2000s.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Robert Smith, the 2015 audit was unanimously accepted as presented, as well as the associated bond amendment to reconcile the one payment alluded to by Mr. Phillips.

FINANCIALS

The month ending February financials were provided to the Board, and as of mid year, more revenue was received than was anticipated, and the total received, adjusted for discounts for prepayments, was \$3,220,000, or 98 percent of annual revenues. During the month of March over \$19,000 more than was received the prior year as people rushed to avoid delinquent penalties.

The balance sheet showed almost \$2,800,000 in cash at the end of February against almost \$218,000 in payables.

The balance sheet now shows that the separate reserves for cash contingency are being designated, and also the beginning cash flow for the first three months of the fiscal year was in accordance with the Board's instructions.

The income statement showed the only notable expenditure thus far this year was the increase in the property appraiser's fee at \$62,000, almost \$12,000 over what was budgeted.

In terms of operating expenses through the end of February, the District was \$93,000 under budget on the expense side.

In response to the Chairman's question about the appraiser's fee increase and whether it will go down the following year, Mr. Dorrill felt that there was a good chance that it would, as this

Increase was for a new computer system for the property appraiser's office, and is probably not ongoing. The Chairman then asked about the capital outlay for \$9,500, and was advised that it was for the gate operators from Gulf Systems, and Vertex was doe the water fountain.

On a MOTION by Robert Smith and a second by Gordon Walker, the financials were then unanimously accepted by the Board.

MANAGER'S REPORT

A. Open Seats for 2016 Election

A copy of the notice for the election for the two available seats was provided to the Board. They are Seat 1, currently held by Mrs. Dupler, and Seat 5, held by Mr. Smith.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Don Pomerantz, staff was authorized to place a notice in the papers as required by the Florida Statutes.

Mr. Smith noted that for the record he planned on running again, and Mrs. Dupler indicated that she was as well.

B. Livingston Parking

Mr. Dorrill will be meeting with Barry Williams and Michelle Arnold on May 7 to discuss the County's desire to do some off site beach parking to accommodate peak season demand at the Vanderbilt Beach. The area they wish to use is the same one the LPGA Championship and Shark Shootout have used, and is owned by the District.

Mr. Dorrill felt that there were many obstacles to using that area for this purpose, not the least of which would be a County shuttle trying to make a U-turn at the intersection of Livingston and Vanderbilt Beach Road to get to the parking area. Mr. Dorrill will take no action on this until he reports back to the Board at the May Meeting. If this does move forward, security, indemnity and insurance would have to be provided and any signage would need the approval of the Board as well as the approval of the Architectural Review Board of the Pelican Marsh

Foundation. Chairman Garofalo did not like the idea of anyone parking there overnight, nor did Mr. Smith. A gate would have to be installed and a warning that cars left there would be towed at the owner's expense would have to be posted.

Mr. Pires noted for the record that the County parking facility at Vanderbilt Beach is only open from sunrise to sunset, so they would probably look at some type of similar situation. Mr. Smith noted that it would be possible that someone would leave their car there, and Chairman Garofalo indicated that an agreement would have to be made with the County to tow any car left in there after dark. Mr. Dorrill stated that typically County park rangers lock those facilities at night, which may mean that cars could be locked in there overnight.

Mr. Dorrill reiterated that there were numerous obstacles to the County using this area, despite how attractive it was to them. The access to the property comes through private property, which is the golf maintenance facility next door, which may be a problem with a County bus traveling through that area all day long to pick up and drop off people. Mrs. Dupler agreed that the area is unsafe as traffic travels at very fast speeds through there.

ATTORNEY'S REPORT

A. Notice for Qualifying Period for 2016 Election

Mr. Pires advised the Board that notice must be published in the papers at least two weeks prior to the start of the qualifying period. The qualifying period begins at noon on Monday, June 20, 2016.

B. Notice of Neighbor Information Meeting

Notice of a new neighbor information meeting was received dealing with the Pelican Marsh PUD and DRI as apparently there has been the addition of some additional properties and modification of the location of certain properties and number of units. It is on Monday, May 2 at 5:30 p.m. at the Vanderbilt Presbyterian Church. The property is not within the CDD, but the

District owns property within a certain radius of the proposed area within the PUD.

C. Proposed Lakes at Terrabella

Two lakes at Terrabella are proposed to address their lake bank erosion, one for the following year and the other three years from now. The president of Terrabella asked if the one scheduled for 2019 could be rescheduled for 2017 as well, and they would pay for it themselves, with reimbursement from the CDD in 2019 when it was originally scheduled to be done. Mr. Pires indicated that if the Board approved that they could enter into an advance funding agreement where they would agree to fund it and the District would reimburse them. Chairman Garofalo indicated that they had done a similar type of thing with Ivy Pointe several years ago.

The Board members discussed the probable costs for this, and the Chairman indicated that the additional lake was a very small one, and would probably run \$22,000 to \$23,000. Ed Walsh is the president of the Terrabella HOA, and Chairman Garofalo asked if he could give him approval for this lake to be done next year, with all attorney's fees to be paid by that HOA. Mr. Pires will prepare the appropriate paperwork.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Robert Smith, the Board unanimously approved the request by Mr. Walsh to have both Terrabella lakes done at the same time in 2017 on an advance funding agreement to be prepared by Mr. Pires.

ENGINEER'S REPORT

A. Airport Road Entrance

At the previous meeting Mr. Robson was asked to look at the entrance on Airport Road regarding the problem with speeding at the turn in. As it is the District's road, they can do as they choose. His recommendation would be a speed bump. Although they are not esthetically pleasing, they do an effective job of reducing speed, and would make someone doing a U-turn

slow down substantially to get over it. The bump is only two and one quarter inches high, but causes a ten to fifteen mile per hour speed decrease to get over. The cost would be approximately \$500 not counting installation and Mr. Robson recommended that looking at the outgoing traffic lane, it should be placed four feet inside so that the front wheels will have gone over it before the car has entered the other lane.

Chairman Garofalo indicated that he is generally against speed bumps, but as Mr. Smith brought up a while ago, they have been very fortunate not to have an incident there, and he believed that it was the responsibility of the person making the turn around and not the person exiting Pelican Marsh. The Chairman felt that a speed bump there was very appropriate, and will prevent accidents. Mr. Robson indicated that they are highly visible, even at night, as they have fluorescent corner striping. Mr. Smith agreed that this was appropriate as well.

Mr. Carter from Watercrest noted that they have a speed bump in Watercrest, and there is a noise factor that has negatively impacted his community. Mr. Carter wondered why this entrance needed a speed bump, as there are none at the other entrances. Chairman Garofalo explained that there is a unique situation at this particular gate as there is only one lane to exit, where the other exits have two. When a person is coming into Pelican Marsh, and decides to exit in front of the gate house, by the time they make that exit, a person coming down Pelican Marsh Boulevard cannot see them, and there is a potential for an accident. This relates to the turn-around before the guard gate at the community entrance.

Mr. Walker asked if a stop sign should be installed there as well, and if there would be any liability issues. The Chairman felt that a stop sign would clutter the area, and there are regulations as to how much room is required to install one which with only ten feet to work with at that point, he did not feel was sufficient.

Mr. Calamari added that the speed limit at that location, which is posted with two signs, is five miles per hour, and if they were driving within the limits of the law, that would make the possibility of an accident minimal. The reality is that no one leaves that gate at the posted

speed limit of five miles per hour, but rather at about thirty.

Mr. Walker asked Mr. Pires if there was any liability in this situation, as he could see someone suing the CDD for negligence in this situation if there is an accident caused by the person making the U-turn. Mr. Pires noted that as the U-turn is there legally, and there is no sign telling them to yield or stop, then the first question to be asked would be is there a hazard. If there is a hazard, the District has an obligation to either correct the hazard or warn people of it. If the Board feels that there is a hazard, then steps to correct that must be taken. Mr. Robson feels that a speed bump would be sufficient to calm the traffic using the turnaround, and the posted speeds are minimal.

Mr. Pires also indicated that if the people exiting were made aware that there is a turnaround there with a sign saying something about the hazard ahead, that could be another option.

Mr. Robson added that based on the Uniform Traffic Device Code, it would not be possible to meet any of the signage distance criteria.

Chairman Garofalo reiterated that this should be the responsibility of the person turning around, which is why a speed bump would be the best option. Mr. Pires agreed that if the engineer felt that the speed bump was appropriate and would help minimize the hazard, then he felt it was appropriate as well.

Mr. Randall from Watercrest if the area under discussion was a County road, and Chairman Garofalo indicated that it belonged to the District. Mr. Robson added that the speed bump is easily removable and could be put in seasonally if that's what the Board wished.

Mr. Vanover will proceed with the arrangements to get the speed bump installed.

B. South Florida Water Management Permit Update

South Florida Water Management contacted Mr. Robson the previous day and advised him that an attempt is being made to transfer the transfer the water use permit, and the Water Management District is trying to understand what the Pelican Marsh CDD's goal is with the

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

April 20, 2016

Page 14

water use permit. Mr. Robson explained the pump station transfer to them, noting that all the residential and common area water consumed by the CDD comes from Collier County Utilities and is green use water, and it is golf course water that the water use permit is being used for. He further explained that there are three golf courses, and the CDD did not have any existing interest in the water use permit. Mr. Robson did ask if the District still had an emergency connection, because if Collier County Utilities says that there is no green use water available, and they shut down the pump station, then there is no way for the District to get water.

The County is going to supplement the green use water with potable, and continue to operate their pump station. Mr. Vanover believed that they had emergency wells that they would use, and they made some improvements to connect the north plant with the south plant, so if there is a surplus of rainwater at one pump or another, they can pump it to where it is needed. Mr. Vanover added that there used to be shutdowns in May before the rainy season started, but a shutdown has not occurred in about three years.

Mr. Robson felt that essentially the CDD is totally reliant upon Collier County Utilities, and Mr. Dorrill indicated that they were not opposed to a transfer and didn't want to hold that permit if they would otherwise be a wholesale customer of the County. Mr. Robson indicated that this is how he tried to explain it to the South Florida Water Development District, and advised them that the golf course lakes were the maintenance and operation responsibility of the CDD, but are independent of the water use permit. The gentleman in the West Palm Beach office is now asking for proof of ownership of lakes. Mr. Robson is not sure where this issue will head next, but is attempting to get South Florida Water Management to deal with the golf courses themselves. The confusion arises with the South Florida Water Management District asking that as the Pelican Marsh District maintains and operates the lakes, are the golf courses using the lakes for irrigation, which they are not, because Pelican Marsh had dedicated ponds for that purpose.

Mr. Robson indicated that the South Florida Water Management District may try to pull in the

CDD as a party to the use permit. Bay Colony is the only neighborhood that supplements their golf course water by drawing out of lakes 1A and 1B; however, they have a recharge system of three wells along Goodlette that they constantly recharge the lake being drawn upon.

Chairman Garofalo asked if they ever draw on the second, east side lake there, and Mr.

Vanover indicated that the second lake is strictly Pelican Marsh. Tiburon draws from the long lake on Livingston, which they also recharge, but that lake is not interconnected.

Mr. Robson noted that the CDD may have to sign some use agreement allowing Bay Colony to draw that water, but that remains to be seen.

The Board will be kept updated as required.

SUPERVISORS' REQUESTS

A. Galleria Gate Issue

Mr. Walker reported that a few weeks ago someone tried to drive into the Galleria gate exit lane at night, and hit the gate. Mr. Calamari indicated that they drove in the correct way, but the reason that the dent was inwards was because the vehicle struck it at a high rate of speed, hitting it so hard that it wrapped around the cement piling there, causing a dent on the back side of it. Everything was damaged, the arm, the connection and the column. There is no record of who may have done this.

The evaluation that was done showed that the gate cannot be effectively repaired, and the insurance adjustor has been contacted and quotes have been received to replace it. Mr. Dorrill felt that within a couple of weeks the new gates will be installed at a cost of \$7,850. Insurance will cover \$3,500 of that amount, and the District is responsible for the rest. Mr. Vanover added that the gates, although damaged, are still functional and controlling access.

Mr. Sparks from Grand Isle asked if they had cameras at all the gates, as that was his understanding. Chairman Garofalo noted that they do, but apparently there was a camera malfunction, and new cameras are being purchased.

Mr. Vanover explained that the three manned gates all have DVRs that record 24/7 in his office and at the gates themselves. The equipment has to be kept at a certain temperature, and cannot be used at the Galleria gate. A different technology was being used there, but the contractor went out of business a few months previously and is no longer recording the data. A live feed continues to be sent, however. Staff was not aware that the company had gone out of business until they called them to get the video.

Moving forward, new, solid state technology will be installed at that gate which will provide both live and recorded video, and is able to withstand the heat generated inside of the box during the hot Florida summers.

B. Clarification on Flagpole

Mrs. Dupler attended the Master HOA meeting where the issue of the flagpole came up, and it was announced that the CDD would be paying for the flagpole and the installation. She did not recall that this was the case, and asked for clarification. Mr. Dorrill indicated that she was correct, and this has been clarified with the president of the HOA, noting that it was the CDD's understanding that the Master HOA would pay for the purchase and installation, and the CDD would operate, light and maintain it. Chairman Garofalo added that in previous meetings the CDD had made it clear several times that it is not being funded by the CDD. Mr. Dorrill will follow up if necessary.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Jim *** indicated that the ficus along Pelican Marsh Boulevard did not appear to be doing well, and asked if a proactive systemic program was being used to treat all those ficus trees. Mr. Vanover indicated that the perimeter ficus trees were being treated with a more intensive program. There are so many ficus trees in the community that it is cost prohibitive to treat them all in this manner. The problem is always worse during the summer months, and it

seems that the problems reoccur in the same spots. However, in the areas being referred to, they are going to upgrade the program in an effort to get the problem under control. Apparently the population of these flies is going down, which ultimately will make a difference.

ADJOURNMENT

With the understanding that the next meeting would be held on May 18 at the Pelican Marsh Foundation building, the meeting was adjourned at 10:24 a.m. **on a MOTION and a second.**

