

**PELICAN MARSH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
BUDGET HEARING AND REGULAR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
AUGUST 20, 2014**

The Board of Supervisors of the Pelican Marsh Community Development District met on Wednesday, August 20, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. at the Pelican Marsh Foundation Building.

APPEARANCES:

Frank Garofalo, Chairman
Robert Smith, Vice-Chairman (Via Speakerphone)
Steve Fitzgerald, Treasurer
Gordon Walker, Supervisor
Sally Dupler, Supervisor

ALSO PRESENT

W. Neil Dorrill, Dorrill Management Group
Mr. Robson, District Engineer
Anthony Pires, District Counsel
John Vanover, Operations Manager
James Calamari, Access Control

ROLL CALL

All Board members were noted to be in attendance with Mr. Smith appearing via speakerphone. **Mr. Smith's appearance via speakerphone and full participation in the meeting due to exceptional circumstances was unanimously approved on a MOTION by Steve Fitzgerald and a second by Gordon Walker.**

BUDGET HEARING

Chairman Garofalo opened the budget hearing, advising the Board members that if they wished to go through the budget line by line, that he was willing to do that. Mr. Smith felt that they had gone over it several times at this point, and that unless anyone had a question, they could approve it.

Mr. Walker asked why the unit assessment was not shown in this year's budget, and he was

Pelican Marsh CDD- Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 2

advised that it is basically staying the same. The Chairman indicated that he felt that they should go through the budget by sections, as he wished to point out a few things. The monthly sheet on collected assessment shows that they will fall short by \$8,000, and will be short by that amount under revenue. There is \$114,000 noted as an ad valorem discount, and he asked if the Board wished to keep it at that amount or adjust it by the \$8,000 shortfall. After some discussion, the Board agreed that they would go with the \$122,000. They will take \$9,000 out of the capital contingency to balance the budget.

In response to Mr. Fitzgerald's question about what is done with the refund from the tax collector's office, the Chairman indicated that this money usually arrives in October after the end of the year and is rolled back into the end result of the audit by Mr. Dorrill.

The Chairman then summarized Page 1, noting that the variance column had gone up by \$800.

Mr. Vanover's section has gone up by \$2,800, with the landscaping being up by \$21,400.

Water management came down by \$4,000, the fountain was down by \$3,000 and lighting was down as well by \$5,300. Access Control went up by \$9,900, and the rotary by \$1,800.

Basically the budget has remained the same, while money was transferred among the sections.

On Page 3, the Chairman noted that there was a formula error on the second to last line where it said total of all expenditures, and that was corrected by Mr. Dorrill's office. The actual number is minus \$4,000, meaning that the actual budget for this year is \$4,000 less than last year, which the Chairman felt was very good, and the Board agreed.

The floor was then opened for public comment.

Mr. Gorran addressed the Board, advising them that his comments should not be considered as a criticism, as he felt that the Board members were doing a fine job and that the community looked very good. He indicated, however, that he could not understand how the budget can be approved after going over it as much as they did without knowing what drives it. He asked if it was being driven by the fact that they don't want to increase the per household assessment,

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 3

or is the budget really zero based that they look at year to year. He wondered what philosophy the Board was working under, because as the infrastructure gets older and older, and replacements are becoming more and more necessary, at the same time salaries, costs and insurance are all going up. He wondered how the Board was making it happen without assessment increases, and asked them how they go through the process without asking questions, as they cannot talk together outside of the meetings.

Mr. Smith indicated that the budget has been talked about for three months prior to this hearing, but Mr. Gorran indicated that with all due respect, he has been present at the meetings for the past three months and still has not heard that type of discussion.

The Chairman indicated that there were two main drivers for the budget. The first is the ten year asset forecast maintained by Mr. Carter, and every capital expense that the Board can think of is on that forecast for the next ten years. In this way they know every year what will have to be replaced, whether it is a mower, a vehicle or a road. They know every year what they will have to do, and that gets put into the capital section of the budget.

The other side of the budget is the expenses, and historically they have done the budget based on prior budgets, but this year they changed it and they used last year's actual to set the next year's budget. In this way they know what they are actually spending, and have made a lot of changes up and down to the operational budget based on actuals. Additionally, management goes through all the administrative costs to be aware of what is happening with health insurance and so on. During the past years Mr. Dorrill has gone out and gotten competitive bids and new programs that the Board has voted to approve to offset insurance increases. This legwork that is going on by staff behind the scenes is what is making the budget work. He added that he was totally against Mr. Gorran's suggestion that they should look at six or seven months' spending and do some intelligent review of it to come up with a budget, because the projections do not work, and the computer generated numbers are not accurate. The system they are using now goes to actual spending, and the staff's review of the numbers.

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 4

Mr. Gorran added that he just hopes that they do not fall short on their projections because they don't want to raise the assessment.

Chairman Garofalo indicated that they set a policy four or five years ago to have three months worth of expenses, enough to carry them for three months, as the budget year begins on October 1, and non ad valorem money does not start to come in until December. As brought up earlier, they are sent a return of excess fees from the tax collector every year at roughly \$8,000, and that money flows into the emergency reserve. There is now a solid cushion, and to answer Mr. Gorran's question, they have made tremendous efficiencies in the past four or five years. The Chairman agreed that the future will see some increases in the assessment as they cannot allow the quality of their work to be affected.

Mr. Gorran asked if the reduction of units being built at Tiburon was affecting them again this year, and the Chairman indicated that as of the beginning of this month WCI had not planned to do it. The amount of money involved is a \$31,000 deduction which he believes WCI then rushed to get in to qualify for the deduction. There will be a shortfall in the revenue of \$31,000 because of that reduced revenue from Tiburon.

Mr. Smith had suggested last year that both increases should be done together with one notification, and so rather than sending a letter to the residents advising them of a small increase, that number is being absorbed, and will come out of the \$900,000 plus reserve money which will still keep them well over the three month reserve amount. The Chairman indicated that personally, he feels that there will be an assessment increase next year that will include this \$31,000 plus a small amount to reinforce the contingency.

Mr. Gorran indicated that the Foundation assessment was going to go up this year, and the Chairman advised him that they go up every year, but he felt that the Board has done a great job over the years to use the taxpayers' money efficiently and to constantly improve the quality of the community. Mr. Gorran felt that the assessment should be increased each year, and that a letter should go out each year telling the residents what has been done during the year and

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 5

why there is an increase . Chairman Garofalo felt that the residents would get tired of having an increase on a yearly basis, but it is a philosophical decision, and elections are coming up in November for new officers.

Cindy **** from Egrets Walk asked where they were with the Bond CDD, and was advised that the CDD has three bonds. One is for Tiburon which has 25 more years to pay for, and there are two bonds for Pelican Marsh. One is roughly from Island Cove to 41, and the other is for the rest of Pelican Marsh. The bond for the east side of Pelican Marsh was just refinanced and because there is a reserve required by law, those reserves were taken down and given back to the residents now so that their annual payments went down by \$100. They therefore have very little reserve left.

On the west side of Pelican Marsh, there are two more years left for payments, one this coming November and one more the year after that. Staff has been asked to check on how much reserve there is. The reserve is vested by the trustee, and next year will probably be the final year for the 1997 bonds, as they keep \$1,500,000 on hand in reserves, which will hopefully make the final 2016 payment. Egrets walk is included in that 1997 Bond.

In response to a question about the landscaping costs and the treatment of whitefly, the Chairman indicated that he felt that South Florida as a whole had experienced less whitefly this year. He added that there is a separate budget for the two, chemicals and plant replacement.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Robert Smith, the Public Hearing was closed, and Resolution 2014-4 was unanimously adopted which approves the 2015 general fund and debt service fund budgets.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Robert Smith, the Resolution was unanimously adopted, and the Chairman was authorized to execute Resolution 2014-5 that levies a debt service and a maintenance assessment within the Pelican Marsh CDD for fiscal year 2015. The Chairman added that this budget showed no change from last year.

Pelican Marsh CDD - Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 6

The Public Hearing portion of the meeting was then concluded.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The Agenda was unanimously approved on a MOTION by Robert Smith and a second by Steve Fitzgerald.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF JULY 16, 2014 Meeting

On Page 5, Mr. Walker felt that a comma should be inserted in the third paragraph after the word "that: which starts, "Chairman Garofalo noted that". In the last paragraph, the same would apply to the first four words, and a comma should follow the word "that".

On Page 6, Mr. Walker asked Mr. Robson to clarify what was meant by the second paragraph from the top, and Mr. Robson indicated that on the far side of the Airport Canal is where the Tiburon portion of Pelican Marsh actually starts. The County had to be approached as the bad portion of the pavement was in the County right-of-way.

Mr. Pires suggested that for clarification, it should read that the County allowed the District to go beyond the right-of-way at Airport-Pulling Road and Tiburon Boulevard.

Additionally on Page 6, the second paragraph under Attorney's Report, the word "him " in the second line of that paragraph should read "Mr. Pires".

On Page 7, Item B, the word "manual" at the beginning of the second sentence should be replaced with the word "bar".

On the second to last line of Page 7, the words "Water Management's" should be replaced with "SFWMD's".

On Page 8, in the second to last line of the third paragraph, the word "they" should be replaced with the "SFWMD". Additionally, in the third line of the fourth paragraph, the first word should be "SFWMD" rather than "them".

On Page 9, in the first line, the name "Mr. Pomerantz" should be inserted after the word

Pelican Marsh CDD- Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 7

“president”. On the second line of that paragraph, the word “the” should be deleted.

On Page 10 in the second paragraph, the woman being referred to was Mrs. Andrews.

The referral to “her” again in the sixth line of the second paragraph should be replaced with Mrs. Andrews’ name also.

On Page 11, the fourth line down indicates that the association president or his associate would have to agree, and The Gables has no association. The Chairman indicated that he was referring to the policy, and Mr. Walker indicated that the actual person who represents them has been identified as the president of the Foundation. Mr. Dorrill added that the felt Association was still all right to use there as the Foundation is identified that way under Florida Law. The Board then agreed to insert the word “Foundation” before the word “Association” in the sixth line from the top.

Also on Page 22 under the discussion about encroachment issues, the proper spelling of the community is “Ventanas”.

On a MOTION by Steve Fitzgerald and a second by Gordon Walker, the minutes were then unanimously approved with the listed corrections.

FINANCIALS

The third quarter through June financials were provided to the Board, and as is customary, Mr. Dorrill and Mr. Fitzgerald discussed the financials on the Monday preceding the meeting.

The balance sheet for the general fund showed \$2,000,000 in cash with an associated \$30,000 in due-froms in various funds that brought the total assets to \$2,041,000 against \$45,000 in payables.

The income statement shows the non valorem assessments at 96.5 percent year-to-date at \$3,276,000, and no additional disbursements from the tax collector are expected until such time as the unused fees are disbursed at the end of the fiscal year.

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 8

Chairman Garofalo noted that on the revenue side the District had a shortfall of \$2,400 on interest income and \$3,700 on revenue. In this year's budget those numbers were reduced by \$2,000 each, so that the revenue interest is more in line with the actual, and in that way the amount of money being received will not be overestimated.

Mr. Dorrill pointed out that also on the income statement on the revenue side, miscellaneous income from the separate contract with the Naples Daily News was received and booked for the month of June, and the separate account for the Mercato interest has been billed and will be shown on next month's financials. Approximately \$22,000 is received yearly from off-site water management revenues from these two entities.

On the expense side of the budget the year-to-date operating expenses are well within budget, at \$180,000 below budget at \$2,200,000 against a \$2,400,000 year-to-date expense forecast.

On Page 5 under lighting, the Chairman pointed out that they had talked about cutting back the lighting budget by \$4,000, and year-to-date the lighting is under budget by \$8,600 for street lights. He noted that for years that item was over budgeted, and \$4,000 was finally taken out of it this year.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Sally Dupler, the financials were then unanimously accepted by the Board.

MANAGER'S REPORT

A. Realtor Sign Policy Update

This policy has been updated as it relates to general real estate signs within the public right-of-way. The change is minor, and it was given to the Board for their consideration and adoption to be placed within the policy manual.

Chairman Garofalo advised that he had received a call from the Board of Realtors, and that they had read the District's policy about signs not being allowed, but the policy is that if the signs are

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 9

Improperly placed, the rover picks them up and brings them to the 41 gate for the realtor to pick up. The problem in the policy is that it said where signs are not allowed specifically, but the rover's instruction says that they are to be picked up if they are on any common ground. The policy was changed, and the wording was kept where it indicates that signs are not allowed on the medians, but changed to say no signs between the sidewalk and the street.

Mr. Smith also felt it should be changed to say metal/wood signs rather than just metal.

Mr. Gorran asked where a sign can be put to direct someone down a road, and the Chairman added that realtor signs cannot be placed on the surrounding highways either at the gate houses, but signs can be placed on the inside of the sidewalk, between it and the communities. This will be clarified to the rover as well.

Mr. Gorran asked what the esthetic difference was, and the Chairman advised him that the District owns the property between the sidewalk and the street, but on the other side of the sidewalk it is private property. Mr. Gorran asked if this was a CDD policy or a Foundation policy, and was advised by the Chairman that the Board only discussed CDD policy, and this property belongs to the CDD and it is their policy.

Mr. Pires suggested that language be added that all signs will be subject to the Collier County Land Development Code, because if there is a difference with the County about whether something is authorized or not authorized, he did not want to have to deal with Code Enforcement about it. That will be done.

The Chairman asked if the Board would authorize the three changes to the policy, including the metal/wood sticks, the placement of signs, and the caveat about compliance with the County Codes.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Steve Fitzgerald, the Board unanimously approved the changes to the sign policy.

An email blast will be sent out on the District's computer advising of the policy clarification.

This item has now been adopted and does not need to be on the following month's agenda.

ATTORNEY'S REPORT

A. Letter to County Manager

Mr. Pires provided a copy of the certified letter sent to the County manager initiating the conflict resolution process to the Board members. The date suggested was August 25 for the first conflict resolution meeting, and the County Manager replied with a date of October 23. Under the statute the time frame can be extended if it is mutually agreed to in writing, and Mr. Dorrill replied to the County Manager, indicating that the District agreed to the extension of time. It will be held in either the County Manager's conference room or the County offices at Orange Tree. One other aspect to the letter is that it reminds the County that they do have the initial conflict assessment and resolution meeting, and each governmental body has to publicly notice the meeting. The District must send a notice to the paper, as must the County, and it must be ten days in advance of that meeting.

In the meantime, Mr. Pires had made a follow-up public records request, and within a day of that request, the County Code Enforcement decided that they, too, were making a request to look at the District's records.

The Chairman updated the residents on what the issue is about, advising them that the concrete fence was installed at the request of the Pine Ridge people about 15 years ago. It needs some repairs, and the question now is who is responsible to repair it. This argument has been ongoing for quite some time, and no resolution has been reached. The District is moving ahead with a lawsuit after being threatened with a code violation, and is following the necessary steps as laid out in the Statute.

Mr. Pires added that if at some point in time he feels that they can legally initiate the litigation without going any further with the conflict resolution, he will advise the Board.

ENGINEER'S REPORT

A SFWMD Permit Transfers

The results of the summary from the SFWMD in their efforts to clean up their backlog of non transferred and construction operation permit applications was included in the Board's agenda package as the permit status report, which is the entire list that was provided to the District.

Mr. Pires clarified that this issue was about transferring the operating permit for the surface water management drainage system to the CDD. The CDD already has these facilities, but it is just a matter of transferring the SFWMD operative permits.

Mr. Robson gave a brief background for the benefit of the public on this transfer issue, adding that this was the second round of permit transfer requests from the SFWMD to the CDD. The Chairman added that these transfers should have been done back when the facilities were completed, but they apparently fell through the cracks.

Mr. Robson noted that several of the lakes around the golf course got changed three times during the course of different phases of construction, which is one of the reasons the original developer sits on the application for a long time, waiting until the project is completely done. This practice is common throughout Southwest Florida.

Mr. Robson's comments while reading the records were included on the transfer status report, and noted the creation of the ACP, or Alternative Certification program, that allowed a non professional engineer to sign the certification form to clean the books up. Of the group that Mr. Robson has designated as recommended, there are only two that do not have the proper certification by a professional engineer, and do not qualify for the Alternative Certification Program.

Chairman Garofalo asked why some of the items on the left hand side were shaded, and was advised that those are all of the transfers that Mr. Robson agreed on, and would match the recommended list. The Chairman then asked Mr. Robson to explain why some of them were

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 12

Designated at NCR, or no certification required, and Mr. Robson explained what a compliance letter is, in that with a compliance modification to an application, it becomes a whole, new application number. For example, there was a modification to Lake 15 behind the Foundation Building, and when the golf course was reconfigured the bank was also slightly changed, but it did not impact any of the design criteria. In that case it qualifies for the compliance letter or modification and a certification is not required. Where the notation for NCR was listed, Mr. Robson accepted the SFWMD's position on that, but to be sure, called them to clarify their position.

Chairman Garofalo asked about the two items listed on the last page with question marks next to them, items 71 and 72. Mr. Robson noted that he needed more information on those. For example, on item 71, structures 24 and 27 allowed water to come in under Goodlette Frank over into the west side of the Strand. They have movable plates in them, but there is no operating schedule in the records. If the District accepts that permit, then it becomes responsible for operating it in a fashion that they know nothing about, so it is designated as needing more information. If the SFWMD explains that now that the Strand restoration is complete, no operating schedule needs to be addressed, then Mr. Robson will recommend acceptance of the transfer, with that clarification in the record.

Mr. Walker noted that in his cover letter, Mr. Robson mentioned that he had included the two applications by Bay Colony to add bulkheads, and Mr. Robson explained that what Bay Colony got approval through an application to do was to add bulkheads along the edge of the Pine Ridge Canal when they changed its configuration, and Mr. Robson advised the SFWMD that the CDD did not want to be responsible for the long term maintenance and operation of those bulkheads. Mr. Robson advised that if something was not put in originally as part of the master stormwater system, but was added on later by another entity, then he does not recommend that the District accept those transfers.

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 13

Mr. Robson added that this distinction was important because the District maintains the Pine Ridge Canal and Bay Colony build those walls and brought fill in to expand certain areas, which was done to their advantage. Chairman Garofalo asked how Mr. Robson clarified that the District maintains the canal but not the bulkheads, and was advised that the application was for the bulkheads only.

Mr. Pires added a clarification with regard to the Pine Ridge Canal, adding the side issue that years ago Collier County had the original drainage easement from north to south to the canal, and when WCI wanted to have the canal meander, the County then needed to vacate their easement for the canal, and they agreed to do that if the District would then become the grantee under the grant of easement, and the County would also be a grantee with no responsibility for maintenance. There is, however, an agreement between the Pelican Marsh CDD and Collier County going back to the 1990s called the Drainage Facilities Maintenance Agreement that is a backup agreement stating that the County has the ability to come in and take care of the canal if the CDD fails to properly maintain it, and to charge the District for it. This is an important point, because the northern portion of the drainage out of Pine Ridge goes across to Pelican Bay.

Mr. Robson asked for authorization from the Board for staff to meet with the SFWMD to go through the list in an effort to clean up those permits that are on the declined list and try to get them shifted to the recommended list so this process can be resolved. All of the forms will be prepared for signature by the Chairman, and delivered to the SFWMD as a sign of good faith. Mr. Robson will get a complete list of applications to the Chairman once he meets with the SFWMD and makes determinations on the remaining transfers.

Mr. Pires added that if the SFWMD was adamant about the District accepting the transfer of the bulkheads at Bay Colony, the District could accept them with the agreement that if any remedial action needs to be taken with them in the future, that the District would be able to

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 14

assess Bay Colony for that.

Mr. Walker asked if the District had the right to decline some of the permit transfers, and asked if the SFWMD could force them to accept the transfers. Mr. Pires indicated that to the extent that the District operates the surface water management system without having the operating permit, it is important to get it resolved. The SFWMD has become much easier to work with and much more understanding of the issue, as they themselves were also part of the problem as to why the certifications did not take place in a timely manner.

Mr. Robson felt that Mr. Dorrill should accompany him when he meets with the SFWMD, as that show of management may demonstrate to them how committed the Pelican Marsh District is.

B. Encroachment Agreement

Mr. Caldwell has responded to Mr. Robson about the potential encroachment issues for the transfer at Ventanas. One of the issues brought to Mr. Caldwell by Mr. Robson was that the sketch provided to the District was not an official document as it had no signature of a professional engineer or a professional surveyor. The encroachment consideration was based upon that document, and Mr. Caldwell was asked for a legitimate document, and he has provided that to Mr. Robson. The original survey Mr. Caldwell provided clarified that the northwest corner, which was the District's biggest concern, that the building stops just shy of the drainage easement. The other encroachment is simply some landscaping and a decorative metal fence on the east side by the pool.

Mr. Pires easily handled the issue with the fence and landscaping, as they would be items that would be easy to deal with if any underground maintenance was required. Mr. Pires suggested that if WCI is willing to pay for the relatively inexpensive process of entering into an Encroachment and Non-Disturbance Agreement, that the District would then enter into it with

the Association. It would allow the existing fence and landscaping to remain with the recognition that when any maintenance activity is done, and it requires additional activity to remove the landscaping or fencing, that the District be paid for these efforts by WCI and be held harmless for them.

On a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Steve Fitzgerald, the Board unanimously authorized staff, after receiving a commitment from WCI to pay for the legal and engineering services required, to draft and bring back to the Board and Encroachment and Non-Disturbance Agreement for signature.

SUPERVISORS REQUESTS

A. Ventura Fence Issue

Mr. Smith asked about Ventura, indicating that he thought that the District would be discussing the issue with them. Chairman Garofalo explained that Ventura sent a letter to Mr. Vanover as a draft, and when the Chairman went through it he realized that the data was incomplete. He and Mr. Vanover will be meeting with the people from Ventura the following day to take care of all of the details, and that clarified letter will come before the Board the following month for their recommendations. It was the Chairman's opinion that the letter coming before the Board should be as complete as possible so the process could be expedited.

B. Website

The Chairman advised the Board that the website has been greatly improved by staff, and the problems with navigating it have been handled. The process has been simplified and it is much easier now to move through the site and find policies and other areas of interest. This item will be on next month's agenda as well.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Cindy Sheahan from Egrets Walk asked who was responsible for the chain link/wood fence going around the perimeter of Pelican Marsh. The Chairman indicated that it varied by community. In some places the CDD has the responsibility, in others the community does. Mrs. Sheahan indicated that they are right up against Mercato, and behind Egrets Walk there is a chain link fence. Most of Mercato has a wall around it, but this area does not. She indicated that people have been coming in through that fence as it has been broken down. They have repaired it and put up a no trespassing sign, and wondered who was responsible to pay for the repairs. The fence is obviously damaged, and apparently young people are coming in there on their bikes to get into Pelican Marsh.

Chairman Garofalo asked Mr. Vanover to check on the ownership, and Mr. Vanover stated that he thought that the black chain link fence belonged to the CDD. Mr. Pires stated that all that needed to be done was to know the exact location, as that is when the District was engaging in a perimeter fence project in a number of areas where they needed to have easements granted, and Egrets Walk was one of the areas where the easement was granted for the chain link fence as part of the project. Mr. Vanover will check the fence with Mrs. Sheahan, and then will get back with Mr. Pires to research it. Mrs. Sheahan will be kept notified.

Jeff Randall from Watercrest asked the Board if any complaints were coming in with the automatic entry and call, and apparently the problem is that a lightning strike put the system down for a period of about ten days, after which it worked perfect. Currently the rollover line with Comcast is not working, and hopefully that issue will be taken care of later in the day. The 029 number at the gate does not appear to be working either, as it is also related to the Comcast problem.

The Chairman advised those present that at the next meeting they are going to consider installing internet access at the gate, so the gate can be advised of a guest on line. This

Pelican Marsh CDD – Minutes

August 20, 2014

Page 17

issue is one of the biggest problems at the gate, and the Board is working on this option as a possible way to improve the method of guest notification.

A resident noted that there was a problem with a speeder at the gate who went through it, but he was found and the police were called. It is dark at the gate at night and Mr. Calamari indicated that there was spotlight in the area and reflective tape on the gate, and this type of accident is not a usual occurrence.

This resident also indicated that a former resident is still coming into Pelican Marsh with her transponder and is harassing the people at Egrets Walk. Chairman Garofalo stated that speaking in general terms, when the ownership of a property changes, the Foundation is notified. They do the paperwork and send the CDD a copy of the ownership change. Mr. Calamari gets that paper and makes the change of names, and the old transponder is nullified. The process will be investigated to determine if all the steps were taken, but Mr. Pires reminded those present that Pelican Marsh Boulevard and Oak Moss Boulevard are public roads and access cannot be denied to anyone. James will make sure the previously noted transponder has been nullified.

ADJOURNMENT

The September meeting will be held on the 17th at 9:00 a.m., **and the meeting was then adjourned at 10:40 a.m. on a MOTION by Gordon Walker and a second by Steve Fitzgerald.**